

1
2
3
4
5
6 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
7 **DISTRICT OF NEVADA**
8

9 VINCENT PINDER,

10 Plaintiff,

11 vs.

12 RENEE BAKER, et al.,

13 Defendants.
14

3:13-cv-00572-MMD-WGC

REFERRAL TO PRO BONO PROGRAM

15 This case is referred to the Pilot Pro Bono Program (“Program”) adopted in General Order
16 2014-01 for the purpose of and identifying counsel willing to be appointed as pro bono counsel for
17 Plaintiff.¹ The scope of appointment shall be for all purposes through the conclusion of trial. By
18 referring this case to the Program, the Court is not expressing an opinion as to the merits of the case.
19 Accordingly,

20 **IT IS HEREBY ORDERED** that this case is referred to the Pilot Pro Bono Program for
21 appointment of counsel for the purposes identified herein.

22 **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that the Clerk shall also forward this order to the Pro Bono
23 Liaison.

24 DATED this 24th day of August, 2016.

25 
26 WILLIAM G. COBB
27 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
28

¹ Plaintiff has previously been granted *in forma pauperis* status (ECF No. 13). Therefore, he does not have to be screened for finance eligibility.